

**DEW Police – Directed Energy Weapons Police  
INVESTIGATION / CRIME-ANALYSIS ENTRY**

**Date:** June 7, 2026

**Crime Analyst:** Ms. Mechael Wright-Hodges

**Organization:** DEW Police, a division of Direct Energy Weapons Agency, Inc.

**Subject:** Local Community Human Hackers / Alleged Remote-Control Governing Body

**Description**

This report has reached the level of concern that it is being prepared for submission to the White House because reported “human hackers” appear to be affecting local communities, restricting victims’ ability to participate in daily life, and weakening community activity.

Based on reports received from survivors, victims are being kept inside their homes, prevented from working, prevented from participating in community organizations, discouraged from supporting police funds, churches, local businesses, and civic activities, and interfered with in ways that affect who they worship, support, like, dislike, and possibly how they vote.

Some individuals may believe that having people with this type of influence around is a good thing, especially if they believe these individuals are correcting what they think is wrong. However, this becomes extremely dangerous when no one knows exactly who is remotely connected to the victim, where the source is located, what technology is being used, or how to stop the connection once it begins.

If a person or group uses this capability for revenge, punishment, coercion, or control, the public must ask:

- Who is remotely connecting to the victim?
- Where are they operating from?
- What device, system, or method is being used?
- What happens if they accidentally connect to the wrong person or to that person’s loved ones?
- How does the victim, law enforcement, or national security identify and stop the remote connection?

Based on reported events from victims, these alleged human hackers are able to force, pressure, or manipulate victims into the following:

### **A. Economic Isolation**

Not buying from businesses the victim normally supported before being stalked, remotely connected to, and occupied by these individuals. Examples include Walmart, Macy's, Target, McDonald's, Wendy's, 7-Eleven, and other local or national businesses.

### **B. Work Interference**

Not working due to repeated pain attacks to the head and body. Victims also report being distracted and prevented from searching for work because of ongoing remote pain attacks.

### **C. Political and Civic Interference**

Not watching announcements from elected leaders, including President Trump's announcements.

### **D. Criminal Behavior**

Being manipulated, pressured, or talked into criminal behavior.

### **E. Suicidal Behavior and Despair**

Being pushed into depression, anxiety, suicidal thoughts, or hopelessness through the use of voice-weapon technology, gaming-style AI, or live human participants who talk the victim away from solutions and keep the victim in a state of despair.

### **Example of a Reported Morning Routine Attack**

The subject is forced awake, and the first sound she hears is from the alleged voice weapon, which may involve AI, live human participants, or gamer-style operators. The voice begins taking control of her day by pretending to remind her of tasks, while actually issuing "Simon Says" style commands and telling her what she is going to do.

The survivor gets up, makes breakfast, sits in a chair, and begins eating while watching the news. Within approximately five minutes, the alleged human hacker increases pain in her genital/pelvic area. She gets up, as she has done before, and moves to another chair in the apartment in an attempt to eat breakfast in peace.

This is one example of what it can be like for a Directed Energy Survivor. These alleged human hackers take control of the day as soon as the victim wakes up.

The following are examples of what this survivor reports hearing during the alleged human hacker's morning routine:

- **"Talk out loud."**

The human hacker forces her to talk out loud and narrate everything being done to her, including each time pain is increased. Instead of simply stopping the pain, the attacker forces the victim to say "pain" out loud.

- **“You have to do laundry. See, boo got your back.”**
- **“Then after, call your daughter to check on her.”**

This conduct appears designed to create dependency, humiliation, obedience, surveillance, and psychological control over the victim’s daily routine.

### **Reported Demonstrations of Control**

These alleged human hackers appear to show off their ability to interfere with victims’ bodies, senses, and behavior. Survivors report that these individuals can:

- Force some victims to sneeze, cough, rub their nose, scratch parts of their head, or move their tongue around inside their mouth.
- Cause victims to scratch or touch certain body parts at specific moments.
- Use accomplices who may pretend to be victims by voluntarily scratching their head, rubbing their nose, coughing, or copying the same behavior, making it harder for investigators to identify who is responsible.
- Block and unblock a survivor’s hearing.
- Distract or redirect a victim’s attention.
- Interfere with eyesight, focus, and peripheral vision.

The term “some” is used because alleged accomplices may be hiding in plain sight and pretending to be victims, which may be part of the strategy to confuse witnesses, investigators, and the public.

### **Hearing Interference**

Survivors report that the alleged attackers can block and unblock hearing. This may create dangerous situations, including:

- A victim may not hear when one of the alleged attacker’s teammates breaks into the home.
- An employee may not hear someone warning them to watch out for danger.
- A civilian, officer, worker, or child may miss important sounds needed for safety.

### **Eyesight, Attention, and Peripheral Vision**

Survivors also report that they are trained or remotely “talked” into obeying “look” and “don’t look” commands. This can compromise peripheral vision and situational awareness.

This is especially concerning for law enforcement, military personnel, first responders, and civilians in dangerous situations. If a human hacker can force a person to look in one direction while danger is located to the side or behind them, that capability could create life-threatening results.

For example, if officers are involved in a shootout or emergency response, and an alleged human hacker can manipulate where a civilian or officer looks, that person’s safety and decision-making may be compromised.

### **Assessment: What Is Needed to Begin Identifying and Capturing Directed-Energy Domestic Terrorists**

To begin identifying, investigating, and capturing individuals involved in these alleged directed-energy domestic terrorism activities, the following actions are recommended:

#### **1. Investigate smear campaigns and catfishing.**

Investigators should review individuals running smear campaigns about victims, impersonating victims, spreading false information, or using catfishing to isolate the victim and misdirect attention away from the actual attackers.

#### **2. Search for embedded or hidden devices.**

Investigators should search for hidden or embedded devices that may be delivering or supporting reported energy attacks. Searches may require specialized equipment capable of detecting hidden electronics, abnormal heat signatures, signals, wiring, sensors, cameras, transmitters, or devices hidden within walls, floors, ceilings, crawl spaces, vehicles, or nearby apartments.

Possible tools may include thermal imaging, radar-based detection, radiofrequency detection, spectrum analysis, non-linear junction detectors, wall scanners, and other technical-surveillance countermeasure tools used to locate hidden electronics or unauthorized devices.

#### **3. Medically test civilians experiencing these assaults.**

Civilians reporting these types of attacks should receive medical testing, neurological review, hearing evaluation, vision assessment, imaging when appropriate, and documentation of symptoms. These reports should not be dismissed without basic medical and technical review.

### **Concern Regarding “Experiment” Claims**

Some have claimed that these events are part of an experiment. If that is true, then the question becomes: what kind of experiment remotely connects to any living man, woman, or child everywhere they go, including supermarkets, schools, doctor's offices, workplaces, churches, and homes, and then psychologically and physically cripples them?

Allowing this activity to continue may permit these individuals to become increasingly skilled. Eventually, they may go out of bounds and target national security personnel, elected officials, government workers, business owners, families, children, or anyone they believe has something they want.

If these individuals gain enough power, they may threaten people by saying that if the person does not give them money, homes, cars, access, information, or cooperation, they will remotely connect to them or their loved ones.

That is a dangerous level of power.

Survivors report being threatened by domestic terrorists who appear able to remotely connect to people and manipulate them as if they are puppets. Reports have also included concerns involving animals, including dogs and cats, being affected by remote manipulation or behavioral interference.

### **Areas That May Be Affected by the Exposure of “Human Hacking”**

If human hacking is publicly exposed and confirmed, many areas of life, law, entertainment, education, religion, sports, and public decision-making may be questioned.

The public may begin asking:

**Was that person acting from their own natural ability, free will, and judgment, or was that person hacked, manipulated, coached, pressured, or remotely influenced?**

**Possible affected areas include:**

1. **Mental Health, Schizophrenia Diagnoses, and Mental-Health Industry Revenue**  
Mental health must be at the top of the list of affected areas. Companies, providers, hospitals, clinics, programs, and systems that profit from mental-health treatment, psychiatric labeling, schizophrenia diagnoses, long-term medication, crisis services, and disability-related care may need to incorporate additional medical and neurological testing when survivors come to them for help reporting external sounds, voices, remote harassment, or unexplained sensory interference.

When a civilian reports hearing external sounds or voices and states that he or she does not know where the sounds are coming from, who is doing it, or how to turn it

off, the response should not automatically be limited to psychiatric diagnosis. Survivors and victims should be medically evaluated to determine whether there are neurological, auditory, environmental, technological, acoustic, or directed-energy factors that may be contributing to the experience.

This does not mean every mental-health diagnosis is wrong. It means that before a survivor is permanently labeled, medicated, dismissed, or placed into a mental-health system, there should be a responsible review to determine whether the person may be experiencing external interference, acoustic harassment, neurological injury, or other forms of non-consensual technology-based abuse.

## 2. **Criminal Justice System**

The question may become: Was this person naturally criminal, or was he or she hacked, manipulated, or remotely coerced?

Lawmakers may face difficult decisions regarding people who were used to commit violent acts. Should they be released, rehabilitated, treated, reviewed, or held fully accountable? More importantly, who were the human hackers who manipulated them into those acts?

## 3. **Police-Involved Violence**

Cases involving police violence may require deeper review to determine who, when, where, and what may have been used to manipulate an officer into hurting civilians they were sworn to protect.

## 4. **Presidential and Elected-Leader Decisions**

Going forward, major decisions by Presidents, elected leaders, judges, military officials, and national-security personnel may be questioned if there is evidence or concern that the individual was remotely connected, influenced, or neurologically compromised when making those decisions.

## 5. **Game Shows**

Game shows may be affected if questions arise about whether contestants were receiving remote answers, coaching, or interference.

## 6. **Las Vegas / Poker / Gambling**

Poker, card games, casinos, and gambling could be affected if human hackers can remotely connect to players, dealers, or teammates and secretly communicate

what cards an opponent has.

**7. Schools and Academic Achievement**

Academic achievements may be questioned if a human hacker was hiding within range and feeding answers to a student, helping someone obtain degrees, certifications, master's degrees, or doctorate degrees unfairly.

**8. Sports**

Sports performance, injuries, focus, reflexes, and decision-making may be questioned if athletes can be remotely influenced, distracted, or physically affected.

**9. Jeopardy and Public Competitions**

Public competitions, including Jeopardy and other knowledge-based contests, may be questioned if contestants are suspected of receiving outside remote answers or influence. This could include well-known figures such as Ken Jennings or others in competitive knowledge spaces.

**10. Fortune Tellers and Psychic Claims**

Some fortune-telling, psychic, or mind-reading claims may need to be reviewed if human hacking, surveillance, voice technology, or remote access is being used to create the appearance of supernatural knowledge.

**11. Churches and Religious Experiences**

Churches and religious experiences may also be affected if people begin questioning whether certain voices, movements, sensations, or messages believed to be spiritual are actually being manipulated by human hackers or technology.

This does not dismiss genuine faith, worship, or the Holy Spirit. Rather, it raises the concern that criminal actors may be misusing technology to imitate, interfere with, or exploit people's religious beliefs.

**Personal Statement from the Crime Analyst**

These individuals may attempt to use what happened to me when I was about 21 years old against me. At that time, I was talked, manipulated, and pressured into a criminal act out of desperation to feed my child and keep a roof over our heads, instead of being helped to see better and lawful options.

More than 40 years later, this technology, or similar manipulation methods, appear to still be used to destroy lives.

The difference between then and now is that, because of modern neuroscience, the BRAIN Initiative, and public discussion around brain science and neurotechnology, society is now talking about these possibilities more openly. Our highly educated peers have demonstrated that brain influence, behavior manipulation, and human-machine interaction are real areas of research and concern.

Now the issue is no longer whether human beings can be influenced. The issue is how to protect future generations from being remotely connected to, manipulated, exploited, distracted, sexually violated, criminally influenced, or prevented from becoming the best version of themselves.

Young people must be protected from envy, sabotage, coercion, and manipulation. No child should be tripped, blocked, attacked, or remotely influenced so someone else's child can win.

Young people should also be able to sleep without fear of being remotely connected to by a pervert, predator, criminal, or human hacker within range, without their knowledge or consent.

This report is submitted as a civilian crime-analysis concern for review, investigation, medical testing, technical testing, and national-security consideration.

**Prepared by:**

**Ms. Mechael Wright-Hodges**

**DEW Police Crime Analyst**

**Founder, Direct Energy Weapons Agency, Inc.**

**Website: [www.DewPolice.com](http://www.DewPolice.com)**

**Email: [info@dewpolice.com](mailto:info@dewpolice.com)**